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How do we specify requirements?
Discovering what matters to customers

Impact Mapping
Impact, Goal
Capability
Feature
Epic
User Story
Acceptance Criterion

Story Mapping

Specification-By-Example

Why?

Easier to define upfront

Harder to define upfront

Code

Reminder for a discussion

Bug report

Isolated, formalized example

By - Example

Why?

How?
Collecting Acceptance Criteria

As a potential customer
I want to collect books in a shopping cart
So that I can order several books at once.

“I would try to put a book into the shopping cart ...”
Books can be placed into shopping cart.

“I would try to remove a book from the shopping cart...”
Books can be removed from shopping cart.

“I’d check whether the shopping cart is empty, when I enter the shop ...”
Shopping cart should be empty when entering the shop.

“I would try to add the same book again to the shopping cart ...”
Adding same book again to shopping cart should increase quantity.

“Imagine this story is already implemented: how would you verify it?”
Why do we need examples?
Examples for user stories

UI wire frames, existing UI

rules, key examples

existing artifacts, samples
Exercise

• Work in groups of 2-3
• Discuss examples of how you would try out the shopping cart
• Draw/write down necessary examples you need during the discussion (UI mockup(s), concrete books, ...)
• Write down the list of discussed acceptance criteria
• DON’T bother with formalizing examples yet e.g. Given/When/Then
Specification-by-Example

Examples ...
- make abstract descriptions better understandable

However ...
- examples are usually not formally exchanged or documented

Examples → Requirements → Tests

Examples consist of describe Tests

verify fulfillment of
Discussion of acceptance criteria

We would like to encourage new users to buy in our shop. Therefore we offer 10% discount for their first order.

```csharp
public void TestInitialOrderDiscount()
{
    Customer newCustomer = new Customer();
    Order newOrder = new Order(newCustomer);
    newOrder.AddBook(Catalog.Find("ISBN-0955683610"));
    Assert.Equals(33.75, newOrder.Subtotal);
}
```

Register as “bart_bookworm”
Go to “/catalog/search”
Enter “ISBN-0955683610”
Click “Search”
Click “Add to Cart”
Click “View Cart”
Verify “Subtotal” is “$33.75”

Original idea for the illustration: George Dinwiddie
http://blog.gdinwidiee.com
... illustrated with formalized examples

Given the user has not ordered yet

When the user adds a book into the shopping cart

Then a discount of 10% is applied to the total.

Original idea for the illustration: George Dinwiddie
http://blog.gdinwidiee.com
Discover hidden assumptions

Actually, this not quite right: Books on sale should be excluded.

Original idea for the illustration: George Dinwiddie
http://blog.gdinwidiee.com
Collaboration: 3 amigos

“Happy Path”

Technical feasibility

Exceptions, border cases

Original idea for the illustration: George Dinwiddie
http://blog.gdinwidiee.com
Abstract acceptance criteria

As a shop visitor
I want to **collect books in my shopping basket**
so that I can purchase multiple books at once.

- Books can be added to the shopping basket
- Books can be removed from the shopping basket
- Shopping basket is initially empty
- The same book can be added multiple times to the shopping basket
Examples for acceptance criteria

As a shop visitor
I want to **collect books in my shopping basket**
so that I can purchase multiple books at once.

Books can be added to the shopping basket

*Given my shopping basket is empty*

*When I add the book “Harry Potter” to my shopping basket*

*Then my shopping basket should contain 1 copy of “Harry Potter”*
As a shop visitor
I want to collect books in my shopping basket
so that I can purchase multiple books at once.

The same book can be added multiple times to the shopping basket

Given my shopping basket contains 1 copy of “Harry Potter”

When I add the book “Harry Potter” to my shopping basket

Then my shopping basket should contain 2 copies of “Harry Potter”
The same book can be added multiple times to the shopping basket

Given my shopping basket contains 1 copy of “Harry Potter”

When I add the book “Harry Potter” to my shopping basket

Then my shopping basket should contain 2 copies of “Harry Potter”

And I should see the warning: “Book already existed in basket”

Structure of examples

Title: Describes intention/abstract acceptance criterion

Arrange: Context, initial state of the system

Act: Execution of the feature

Assert: Assertion of observable behaviour

And I should see the warning: “Book already existed in basket”

Evolution of a domain specific test and specification language.
Exercise

• Work in same previous groups of 2-3
• Pick an acceptance criteria from the previously compiled list
• Illustrate with examples formalized into
  • Given: Context, initial state
  • When: utilization of the feature
  • Then: assertions of observable behaviour
• Validate that scenario title (acceptance criterion) still describes intention of formalized scenario
How long do we care about the examples?
Purpose of the examples

• Shared understanding: acceptance criteria

• Documentation: Look-up detail aspects of the system

• Regression-tests: Understand what assumptions have been violated
Continuous validation with automation

„Step Definitions“ are binding individual steps to an automatable interface of the application.

Automation does not necessarily have to bind to the UI.

Automatability of system is supported/evolving with development.

Given my shopping basket contains 1 copy of “Harry Potter”

When I add the book “Harry Potter” to my shopping basket

Then my shopping basket should contain 2 copies of “Harry Potter”

„Living documentation“
Gherkin automation for .NET
• Visual Studio plugin (VS-Gallery)
• NuGet Package

http://www.specflow.org
What does that mean for testing?
UI automation becomes expensive when ...

- trying to automate manual tests
- making tests unreadable when automating them
- automating after completing development
## Structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Manual tests</th>
<th>Automated Check</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asserts</td>
<td>Multiple combined features</td>
<td>Single aspect of a single feature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure</td>
<td>ACT-ASSERT-ARRANGE-ACT-ASSERT-...</td>
<td>ARRANGE – ACT – ASSERT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Dependent</strong> on other features</td>
<td><strong>Independent</strong> of other features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Long test path</strong> with high chance to break</td>
<td><strong>Short test path</strong> with lower chance to break</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Test automation pyramid

User journeys

Acceptance criteria

Units

exploratory testing

few

many

Automatability

hard
easy

Source: Mike Cohn
// Go to web page 'http://localhost:40001/' using new browser instance
BrowserWindow localhostBrowser = BrowserWindow.Launch(
    new System.Uri(this.RecordedMethod1Params.Url));

// Click 'Register found item' link
Mouse.Click(uIFundstueckerfassenHyperlink, new Point(56, 9));

// Click 'Save' button
Mouse.Click(uISpeichernButton, new Point(44, 14));

int fundNr1 = int.Parse(uIFundNr127Pane.InnerText.Substring(9));

// Click 'Register found item' link
Mouse.Click(uIFundstueckerfassenHyperlink, new Point(63, 7));

// Click 'Save' button
Mouse.Click(uISpeichernButton, new Point(34, 11));

int fundNr2 = int.Parse(uIFundNr128Pane.InnerText.Substring(9));

Assert.IsTrue(fundNr1 + 1 == fundNr2);

// Click 'Close' button
Mouse.Click(uICloseButton, new Point(26, 11));
A readable test case

**Scenario:** New found items should receive a consecutive number for the current year

**Given** the previous found item of the current year had the number 145

**When** I register a new found item

**Then** the last found item of the current year should have the number 146
When to test (point in time)

New dimension: defining the product
Synergy: Specification of requirements and tests

Agile Testing Quadrants: Brian Marick
Cross-functional teams

Co-creation
Fast feedback
Cross-functional work

Limit WIP

Collaboration for defining acceptance criteria

Extension of “Test Cases”

Collaboration for automation

Collaboration in manual testing

Exploratory Tests

Specification and test

Sprint 1

US1
Plan
Implement & autom. test

US2
Plan
Implement & autom. test

US3
Plan
Implement & autom. test

US4
Plan
Implement & autom. test

US5
Plan
Implement & autom. test

US6
Plan
Implement & autom. test

US7
Plan
Implement & autom. test

US8
Plan
Implement & autom. test

US9
Plan
Implement & autom. test

short iteration

Preventing bugs instead of finding them!
What does that mean for development?
Workflow - TDD

Write a failing unit test

Make the test pass

Refactor
Extending TDD for business
Transparency for stakeholders

AD Project Dashboard

Last Finished Sprint results
- Done report: here
- Application: http://d.techtalk.at:8890/
- Test files:
  - Product & Booking import sample: Testdaten_01.xlsx

In Progress
- Build status: see on the right side
- Current done report: on build server here
- Current sprint report: on build server here
- Sprint burndown: generated every day at noon
  or on reporting server here (internal)
- Latest application (internal): http://ttvm-g.techtalk.at:8889/
- Latest smoke-tested application (Internal): http://ttvm-g.techtalk.at:8888/

Infrastructure summary
- Project Sharepoint Site: https://projects.techtalk.at/projects/
- Build Server: http://d.techtalk.at:8111/
- SpecLog: ttvm-ssf-ee-net4:4243/
Current sprint report: all sprint scenarios

Acceptance Tests Test Execution Report
Generated by SpecFlow at 05/05/2011 15:19 (see http://www.specflow.org/).

Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Success rate</th>
<th>Scenarios</th>
<th>Success</th>
<th>Failed</th>
<th>Pending</th>
<th>Ignored</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 features</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Feature Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Success rate</th>
<th>Scenarios</th>
<th>Success</th>
<th>Failed</th>
<th>Pending</th>
<th>Ignored</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calendar views</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create booking</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create reservation</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Show availability of spot length</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>View bookings related to utilization value</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Feature Execution Details

Feature: Calendar views
Scenario: Should display utilization in green when spot length invalid

@specified

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Time(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pending</td>
<td>15.413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pending</td>
<td>0.187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pending</td>
<td>0.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pending</td>
<td>0.437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pending</td>
<td>0.150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Starting with first scenario (AC)
Finishing the first scenario (AC)
Progressing scenario after scenario
Progressing scenario after scenario

### Acceptance Tests Test Execution Report


#### Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Success rate</th>
<th>Scenarios</th>
<th>Success</th>
<th>Failed</th>
<th>Pending</th>
<th>Ignored</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 features</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Feature Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Success rate</th>
<th>Scenarios</th>
<th>Success</th>
<th>Failed</th>
<th>Pending</th>
<th>Ignored</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calendar views</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create booking</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create reservation</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Show availability of spot length</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>View bookings related to utilization value</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Feature Execution Details

**Feature: Calendar views**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Time(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Should allow paging backwards in month view</td>
<td>pending</td>
<td>13.217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should allow paging forwards in month view</td>
<td>pending</td>
<td>0.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should allow switching to month view</td>
<td>pending</td>
<td>0.324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should allow switching to the booking range view</td>
<td>pending</td>
<td>0.202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should display maximum 31 days when selecting the booking range view</td>
<td>pending</td>
<td>0.172</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Progressing scenario after scenario
Implementing user stories in parallel

```
Acceptance Tests Test Execution Report
Generated by SpecFlow at 05/13/2011 17:13 (see http://www.specflow.org/).

Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Success rate</th>
<th>Scenarios</th>
<th>Success</th>
<th>Failed</th>
<th>Pending</th>
<th>Ignored</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 features</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Feature Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Success rate</th>
<th>Scenarios</th>
<th>Success</th>
<th>Failed</th>
<th>Pending</th>
<th>Ignored</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US110 - Calendar views</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US112 - Create reservation</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US114 - Show availability of spot length</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US115 - Create booking</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US116 - View bookings related to utilization value</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Feature Execution Details

Feature: US110 - Calendar views

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Time(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Should allow paging backwards in month view</td>
<td>success</td>
<td>42.743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should allow paging forwards in month view</td>
<td>success</td>
<td>1.793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should allow switching to month view</td>
<td>success</td>
<td>1.482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should allow switching to the booking range view</td>
<td>success</td>
<td>2.340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should display maximum 31 days when selecting the booking range view</td>
<td>success</td>
<td>1.318</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
First user story ready for testing

Acceptance Tests Test Execution Report
Generated by SpecFlow at 05/19/2011 16:47 (see http://www.specflow.org/).

Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Success rate</th>
<th>Scenarios</th>
<th>Success</th>
<th>Failed</th>
<th>Pending</th>
<th>Ignored</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 features</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Feature Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Success rate</th>
<th>Scenarios</th>
<th>Success</th>
<th>Failed</th>
<th>Pending</th>
<th>Ignored</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US110 - Calendar views</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US112 - Create reservation</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US114 - Show availability of spot length</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US115 - Create booking</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US116 - View bookings related to utilization value</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Feature Execution Details

Feature: US110 - Calendar views

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Time(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Should allow paging backwards in month view</td>
<td>success</td>
<td>24.682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should allow paging forwards in month view</td>
<td>success</td>
<td>2.373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should allow switching to month view</td>
<td>success</td>
<td>1.901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should allow switching to the booking range view</td>
<td>success</td>
<td>3.581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should display maximum 31 days when selecting the booking range view</td>
<td>success</td>
<td>1.725</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Manual testing can start even earlier
Already done work can break again
Already done work can break again
See what is temporarily not working
Living documentation
Story Maps

- Developed by Jeff Patton
- Skeleton for fine-grained user stories
- Built for a set of capabilities or outcome that the system should support
Building story maps

Book delivered to my home

Find book I want
Collect books
Complete order
Wait for book
Receive book

desired effect or outcome
user activities
time
system features

browse best sellers
put into basket
enter address
receive delivery notification
receive delivery slip

search book by title
create wish list
pay with credit card
inquiry order status
Establish a walking skeleton

Book delivered to my home

Find book I want
Collect books
Complete order
Wait for book
Receive book

Browse best sellers
Enter address
Put into basket
Pay with credit card
Receive delivery slip
Receive delivery notification

Search book by title
Manual workaround

Inquiry

Omitted steps

Walking skeleton

Time

Necessity
Demo

• Story Maps as Living Documentation
User Stories vs. Features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product/Sprint Backlog</th>
<th>Living Documentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>User Story 1</td>
<td>Feature 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AccCrit 1</td>
<td>AccCrit 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AccCrit 2</td>
<td>AccCrit 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User Story 2</td>
<td>Feature n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AccCrit 3</td>
<td>AccCrit 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AccCrit 4</td>
<td>AccCrit 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User Story n</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AccCrit 5</td>
<td>AccCrit 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AccCrit m</td>
<td>AccCrit m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Future options of the system
• Organized/refined according to priority, value, effort, risk, ...
• Next possible increments of the product (units of work)

• Current state of the system
• Organized/refined for functional overview
• Versioned and maintained together with source code

"Done"
Demo

• Feature Tree as Living documentation
Additional thoughts
Level of automation

Out-of-process

Browser automation

In-process

View

Model

Controller

Business Layer

Data Layer

Setup pre-conditions through service interfaces

Assert behaviour on model, db, ...

Trigger behaviour through controller
Performance

- Grouping tests
  - Current WIP
  - Completed work
- Database
  - In-memory
  - Templates for setup
- Parallel execution
- Smart execution order
Internal vs. external DSL

Example Source:
Liz Keogh
https://github.com/lunivore/tictactoe-java/blob/master/scenarios/com/lunivore/tictactoe/scenarios/Three_in_a_row_wins.java
Non-functional acceptance criteria

Given there are 100,000 users registered on the system
When I create a new account
Then I should be taken to my dashboard within 5ms

Given 1000 users are hitting the homepage simultaneously
Then each user should get a response within 2ms

Matt Wynne
http://blog.mattwynne.net/2012/03/13/using-cucumber-for-load-testing
Tools
Gherkin automation tools

Cucumber
www.cukes.info
Ruby, Java, JavaScript, C++

Specflow
www.specflow.org
.NET, Mono, Silverlight, WP7

Behat
www.behat.org
PHP
The tool you are missing to plan iteratively and validate continuously using Impact Maps, Story Maps, Specification-by-Example and Living Documentation. Available now!

**DISCOVER what matters**
Software that matters generates measurable impact. Collaborate visually with **impact maps** to quickly identify how actors and stakeholders can contribute to measurable goals. **Story maps** help you understand individual user scenarios and how they can be supported iteratively.

**EVLOLVE your product**
Prioritize and slice potential sets of features into valuable increments of your product. SpecLog provides a **virtual space** for your impact maps and story maps, and supports you with **product planning** in **distributed teams**. Your backlog becomes more than just a prioritized list.

**REFINE key examples**
Use examples to develop a **shared understanding** about the domain. Add examples to illustrate user stories that are mapped in SpecLog. Start with UI scribbles, sample artifacts and acceptance criteria, and further refine them to formalized **Gherkin scenarios**.

**VALIDATE continuously**
Extend your system using ATDD where the Gherkin scenarios become automated business readable acceptance tests. Linking automated Gherkin scenarios to the maps maintained in SpecLog allows you to build a continuously validated **living documentation** of your system.

twitter @speclog

www.speclog.net
Books

Gojko Adzic
Bridging the Communication Gap

Gojko Adzic
Specification by Example

Lisa Crispin
Janet Gregory
Agile Testing
Deliver what matters, and not just what's specified

Testers
- Automate formalized scenarios for continuous validation
- Deliver new increments of the system frequently

Developers
- Validate technical feasibility and propose technical solutions
- Evolve and refine the architecture
- Decompose formalized scenarios into unit tests and extend the product to fulfill them

Customers & Business Stakeholders
- Define measurable goals and desired impact
- Identify how actors and stakeholders can support or obstruct these goals
- Provide key examples of the domain
- Validate formalized scenarios
- Give feedback to delivered product increments

Business Analysts and UX Experts
- Challenge requirements
- Identify and structure user scenarios
- Derive and prioritize potential features
- Slice into sets of valuable increments for delivery
- Design and validate the user experience

Evolve the product